

PRE-CONTRACTUAL INFORMATION SHEET ENVIRONMENTAL AND/OR SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS

PRODUCT NAME:
 WORLD TO COME (THE "MANDATE")

LEGAL ENTITY IDENTIFIER:
 549300UA2M7UCJX8SE64

DOES THIS FINANCIAL PRODUCT HAVE A SUSTAINABLE INVESTMENT OBJECTIVE?

Yes

It will make a **minimum proportion of sustainable investments with an environmental objective**: _____%

In economic activities that are considered environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

In economic activities that are not considered environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

It will make a **minimum proportion of sustainable investments with a social objective**: _____%

No

It promotes environmental and social (E/S) characteristics and, although it does not have a sustainable investment objective, it will include a minimum of 10% sustainable investments

Having an environmental objective in economic activities that are considered environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

Having an environmental objective in economic activities that are not considered environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with a social objective

It promotes E/S characteristics **but will not make sustainable investments**

A **sustainable investment** is an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that it does not cause material harm to any such objective and that the companies in which the financial product is invested apply good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system established by Regulation (EU) 2020/852, which lists **environmentally sustainable economic activities**.

This regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.



WHICH ENVIRONMENTAL AND/OR SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS ARE PROMOTED BY THIS FINANCIAL PRODUCT?

The environmental and/or social characteristics ("E/S") promoted by the Mandate consist in investing mainly in a selection of undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) (in particular but not exclusively those of the Crédit Agricole Group) classified as Article 8 within the meaning of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector ("SFDR") with a minimum commitment to sustainable investments within the meaning of SFDR of 10% and/or Article 9 SFDR.

1. E/S CHARACTERISTICS PROMOTED FOR INVESTMENTS IN INTERNAL UCITS

The Internal UCITS (i.e. the UCITS managed by an entity of the Indosuez Wealth Management Group) invested in by the Mandate are subject to a detailed look-through analysis of the ESG profile of the corresponding issuers, by applying the Indosuez Wealth Management Group's ESG rating methodology, as described below.

Evaluation of the ESG rating based on a look-through analysis of the Indosuez Wealth Management Group Internal UCITS

The Indosuez Wealth Management Group draws on the analysis of an external provider, which has dedicated resources and teams with ESG-related experience. The provider assigns monthly ESG ratings to issuers based on the analysis of 37 environmental, social and governance criteria, comprising 16 generic criteria and 21 criteria specific to the different sectors and relies on several specialised data providers. The ESG ratings are converted by applying a rating grid specific to the Indosuez Wealth Management Group on a scale of 0 (lowest rating) to 100 (highest rating).

Sustainability indicators **are used to measure how environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are met.**

2. E/S CHARACTERISTICS PROMOTED FOR INVESTMENTS IN EXTERNAL UCITS

The selection of External UCITS (i.e. not managed by an Indosuez Wealth Management Group entity) is based in particular on the following criteria:

1. Qualification as an Article 8 fund under the SFDR with a minimum commitment to sustainable investments of 10% within the meaning of the SFDR and/or as an Article 9 SFDR fund.
2. The quality of the ESG analysis of the issuers carried out by the External UCITS management company using investment due diligence (IDD).
3. The quality of the SFDR-related pre-contractual appendices and non-financial reports (periodic information or other non-financial reports).
4. Consideration of adverse impacts on sustainability.

Limit of the approach used

The selected External UCITS may implement ESG strategies that differ from those implemented at the Indosuez Wealth Management Group. As such, they may have different approaches to taking into account non-financial criteria, which may lead to inconsistencies in the non-financial analysis of the Mandate.

No benchmark index has been designated for the purpose of achieving the E/S characteristics promoted by the Mandate.

What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

To measure the achievement of the above-mentioned E/S characteristics, the Mandate will use the following sustainability indicators:

- Percentage of UCITS classified as Article 8 SFDR with a minimum commitment to sustainable investments within the meaning of the SFDR of 10% and/or Article 9 SFDR (minimum 80% of the Mandate)
- The percentage of sustainable investments within the meaning of the SFDR (10% minimum) based on the weighted commitments of the UCITS in the portfolio (Source: pre-contractual ratings/non-financial reports)

What are the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make and how does the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

For Internal UCITS subject to a look-through analysis:

The sustainable investment policy of the Internal UCITS is to invest in issuers targeting two objectives:

1. follow exemplary environmental and social practices; and
2. not generate any products or services that harm the environment or society.

It has been established that “contributing to long-term sustainability criteria” applicable to issuers means that they have to be exemplary in their sector in terms of at least one environmental or social factor. The definition of an exemplary issuer in its sector is based on the ESG rating methodology used to measure the issuer’s ESG performance. To qualify as “exemplary”, an issuer must be part of the top third of the companies in its business sector in terms of at least one material environmental or social factor.

An issuer meets these long-term sustainability criteria if, moreover, it is not significantly exposed to transactions that are incompatible with said criteria (e.g. tobacco, weapons, betting, coal, aviation, meat production, fertilisers and pesticide manufacturing, single-use plastic production).

For External UCITS:

As External UCITS are not subject to a look-through analysis, the Mandate’s sustainable investment objectives for the portion invested in these External UCITS may be monitored only on a “best effort” basis, i.e. by demonstrating an improvement in or good prospects for improving their ESG practices and performance over time, taking into account the limit of the approach adopted, bearing in mind that it will depend on the methodologies developed by the management companies of these UCITS as to whether such an investment can be qualified as sustainable.

As part of its External UCITS selection process, the Agent (i.e. the manager of the assets of the Mandate) nevertheless ensures that the sustainable investment objectives of these instruments do not deviate significantly from those applicable to the Internal UCITS that are subject to a look-through analysis.

How do the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

For Internal UCITS:

The DNSH (Do Not Significantly Harm) principle is tested based on Principal Adverse Impacts (such as the intensity of the issuer's GHG emissions) which are measured using a combination of indicators (e.g. carbon footprint) and specific thresholds or rules (e.g. the issuer's carbon footprint cannot be in the lowest decile of the sector). In addition to these criteria, established specifically for this test, the Agent takes into account certain Principal Adverse Impact indicators in its exclusion policy. This methodology does not currently take into account all the indicators of the Principal Adverse Impacts listed in Appendix 1 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 of 6 April 2022 in this first DNSH test, but the methodology will gradually integrate these indicators as the quality and scope of the non-financial data improve.

In addition to the sustainability factors covered by the first test, a second test has been defined to verify that the issuer's environmental or social impact is not among the worst in the sector.

For External UCITS

As part of its process for selecting and monitoring External UCITS, the Agent applies its best efforts to ensure that the managers of the External UCITS carry out a DNSH test on investments considered to be sustainable and that these tests are based on the pre-contractual appendices, the periodic information on the UCITS, and any other relevant non-financial reporting.

How have the indicators of adverse impacts been taken into account?

The Internal UCITS take these indicators into account as part of their monitoring processes (e.g. monitoring of the intensity of the issuer's GHG emissions). This monitoring is based on a combination of indicators (e.g. carbon footprint) and specific thresholds or rules (e.g. the target's carbon footprint cannot be in the lowest decile of the sector). In addition to these criteria, established specifically for this test, the Agent takes into account certain Principal Adverse Impact indicators in its exclusion policy. This methodology does not currently take into account all the indicators of the Principal Adverse Impacts listed in Appendix 1 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 in this first DNSH test, but the methodology will gradually integrate these indicators as the quality and scope of the data improve.

The way in which External UCITS take these indicators into account depends on the due diligence carried out by their management company. Nevertheless, the Agent shall enquire, on a "best effort" basis, about the policies put in place to take these indicators into account on the basis of, in particular, pre-contractual appendices, periodic information on these UCITS and any other relevant non-financial reporting.

To what extent do the sustainable investments comply with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

For Internal UCITS for which a look-through sustainability analysis has been carried out, the alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights is tested and ensured as part of the sustainable investment identification process. In accordance with its ESG policy and exclusion policy, the Agent reviews companies before including them in the investment universe.

The way in which External UCITS comply with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights depends on the due diligence carried out by their management company. As part of the selection and monitoring of External UCITS, the Agent enquires, on a "best effort" basis, about the policies implemented to take these principles into account when defining eligible sustainable investments for External UCITS, based in particular on pre-contractual appendices, periodic information on these UCITS and any other relevant non-financial reporting.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

The EU Taxonomy establishes a principle of “do not cause significant harm” under which investments aligned with the Taxonomy should not cause significant harm to the objectives of the EU Taxonomy and which is accompanied by specific EU criteria.

The principle of “doing no significant harm” applies only to investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. Investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.



DOES THIS FINANCIAL PRODUCT CONSIDER PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACTS ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS?

Yes, the Mandate considers the Principal Adverse Impacts on sustainability factors as follows

#	ADVERSE IMPACT INDICATOR	APPROACH USED FOR INTERNAL UCITS	APPROACH USED FOR EXTERNAL UCITS	TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION
1	GHG emissions (levels 1, 2 and 3)	ESG rating and voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
2	Carbon footprint	ESG rating and voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
3	GHG emission intensity of the companies benefiting from the investments	ESG rating and voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
4.	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	ESG rating, exclusion policy and voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
5	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	ESG rating and voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
6	Energy consumption intensity per sector with a high climate impact	ESG rating and voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
7	Activities with a negative impact on sensitive areas in terms of biodiversity	ESG rating	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
8	Discharges into water	ESG rating	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
9	Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	ESG rating	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
10	Violations of the principles of the United Nations Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Exclusion policy and voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
11	Lack of compliance processes and mechanisms to monitor compliance with the principles of the UN Global Compact and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	
12	Uncorrected pay gap between men and women	Voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	
13	Board gender diversity	Voting policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	
14	Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, submunitions, chemical weapons or biological weapons)	Exclusion policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
15	GHG emission intensity	ESG rating	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X
16	Investment countries experiencing violations of social standards	Exclusion policy	Periodic information and other non-financial reporting	X

Further information on how the Principal Adverse Impacts on sustainability factors are taken into consideration will be provided in the periodic report of the Mandate, which will be made available to the Principal on an annual basis.

No



WHAT INVESTMENT STRATEGY DOES THIS FINANCIAL PRODUCT FOLLOW?

The objective of the Mandate is to significantly increase capital over the long term (eight years or more) by favouring investments in the equity markets (between 90% and 100% equity UCITS), with a particular focus on long-term societal themes.

Environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors are considered in the selection of instruments so as to assess the ability of issuers to take into account the risks and opportunities related to sustainable development in their business.

The Mandate may also be invested in all other types of financial instruments such as money market funds, structured products and spot or forward currency transactions, structured or otherwise.

The Agent prioritises investments that offer considerable upside potential; as such, it accepts a high level of risk. This is an international investment strategy and as a result may generate significant foreign exchange risk.

The recommended investment period is at least eight years.

What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The binding elements of the investment strategy are as follows:

- Systematic application of the ESG rating when analysing each underlying,
- At least 80% of the UCITS invested through the Mandate must be classified as Article 8 SFDR with a minimum commitment to sustainable investments within the meaning of the SFDR of 10% and/or be classified as Article 9 SFDR (Sources: pre-contractual notices and non-financial reporting).
- At least 10% of the Mandate invested in sustainable investments within the meaning of the SFDR on the basis of the minimum weighted commitments of the UCITS of the Mandate (Sources: pre-contractual notices and non-financial reporting).

What is the committed minimum rate to reduce the scope of the investments considered prior to the application of this investment strategy?

Not applicable (N/A)

What is the policy on assessing the best governance practices of the investee companies?

For Internal UCITS:

The governance criteria considered in the ESG rating and the normative exclusions help ensure that the issuers of the Internal UCITS demonstrate best governance practices. These criteria make it possible to ensure, in particular, that the Internal UCITS in which the Mandate invests do not contribute to the violation of human or labour rights, corruption or other practices that could be considered unethical.

The **investment strategy** guides investment decisions according to factors including investment objectives and risk tolerance.

Best governance practices concern sound management structures, staff relations, staff remuneration and compliance with tax obligations.

This approach is based on global standards and principles, which include, but are not limited to, the United Nations Global Compact, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.


For External UCITS:

The assessment of best governance practices by companies that benefit from the investments of the External UCITS in which the Mandate invests depends on the policies implemented by their management companies. As part of the selection and monitoring of these instruments, the Agent enquires, on a "best effort" basis, about the policies put in place to assess these good governance practices and the way they are implemented, on the basis of, in particular, the periodic information reports of these UCITS and any other relevant non-financial reporting.



WHAT IS THE ASSET ALLOCATION PLANNED FOR THIS FINANCIAL PRODUCT?

A minimum of 80% of the Mandate will be invested in Internal and External UCITS that are aligned with the E/S characteristics promoted (#1 Aligned with the E/S characteristics); among these investments, those considered sustainable investments within the meaning of the SFDR will account for at least 10% of the assets (#1A Sustainable). The remainder (20%) will consist of cash, cash equivalents as well as unscreened ESG investments and will not be aligned with the E/S characteristics promoted (#2 Other).



Category #1 **Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.**

Category #2 Other **includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics nor qualified as sustainable investments.**

Category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics **includes :**

- o the #1A Sustainable sub-category covering sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives;
- o sub-category # 1B Other E/S characteristics covering investments aligned with environmental or social characteristics that are not considered sustainable.

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

Activities aligned with the Taxonomy are expressed as a percentage:

- o **of revenue** to reflect the share of revenue from the green activities of the investee companies;
- o **of capital expenditure** (CapEx) to show the green investments made by investee companies, for example, for a transition to a green economy;
- o **of operating expenses** (OpEx) to reflect the green operating activities of the investee companies.

How does the use of derivatives attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?

Not applicable (N/A)




To what minimum extent are sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Agent is currently not committed to investing the Mandate in sustainable investments in environmental terms within the meaning of the EU Taxonomy, but only within the meaning of the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (“SFDR”). However, this position may be adjusted as regulations change and the availability of non-financial data improves. As a result, the alignment of the investments of the Mandate with the EU Taxonomy has not been calculated and has therefore been considered as constituting 0% of the Mandate.

Has the financial product invested in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy-related activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy*?

- Yes
- In fossil gas In nuclear energy
- No

The two graphs below show in maroon the minimum percentage of investments aligned with the EU Taxonomy. Given that there is no appropriate methodology for determining the alignment of sovereign bonds with the Taxonomy, the first graph shows the alignment with the Taxonomy for all investments in the financial product, including sovereign bonds, while the second graph represents alignment with the Taxonomy only for the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



* For the purposes of these graphs, “sovereign bonds” include all sovereign exposures.

What is the minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities?

As the Mandate does not commit to making sustainable investments within the meaning of the EU Taxonomy, the minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities within the meaning of the EU Taxonomy is therefore also set at 0%.



What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?



The Agent undertakes to maintain a minimum share of 10% of sustainable investments aligned from an environmental perspective with the SFDR. These investments could be aligned with the EU Taxonomy, but the Agent is not currently in a position to specify the exact proportion of the Mandate’s investments that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. However, this position will be kept under review as regulatory provisions evolve and the availability of non-financial data increases.

* Fossil gas and/or nuclear-related activities will comply with the EU Taxonomy only if they contribute to limiting climate change (“climate change mitigation”) and do not cause significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective – see explanatory note in the left-hand margin. All criteria applicable to economic activities in the fossil gas and nuclear energy sectors that comply with the EU Taxonomy are defined in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Enabling activities directly allow other activities to make a substantial contribution to the achievement of an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best achievable performance.

The symbol represents sustainable investments with an environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under the EU taxonomy.



What is the minimum share of socially sustainable investments?

Although investments made by the Mandate may be classified as socially sustainable investments within the meaning of the SFDR, the Agent does not currently make a commitment to a minimum share of investments in this regard.



What investments are included under “#2 Other”, what is their purpose and are there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

Category “#2 Other” consists of unscreened investments for diversification purposes, investments for which data is lacking or cash held in the form of ancillary liquid assets. There are no minimum environmental or social guarantees for such investments.



IS A SPECIFIC INDEX DESIGNATED AS A BENCHMARK INDEX TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS FINANCIAL PRODUCT IS ALIGNED WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND/OR SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS THAT IT PROMOTES?

Not Applicable (N/A).



WHERE CAN I FIND MORE PRODUCT-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ONLINE?

Further information on the product is available on the website:

<https://luxembourg.ca-indosuez.com/en/indosuez-in-luxembourg/our-compliance-policy>

CA Indosuez Wealth (Europe) – société anonyme

39, Allée Scheffer
L-2520 Luxembourg
Adresse postale BP 1104
L-1011 Luxembourg

T +352 24 671
F +352 24 67 8000
Adr. Swift AGRILULA
R.C.S Luxembourg B91986

www.ca-indosuez.com